Student peer review checklist

This activity accompanies the article 'Check my working'. Read the article and then use this set of questions to evaluate your partner’s experimental write-up and provide some constructive feedback.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author’s name: [Your partner’s name]</th>
<th>Reviewer’s name: [Your name]</th>
<th>Reviewer rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Introduction**

Did the author provide accurate and sufficient background information about the study? 

Did the author clearly state the aim of the experiment? 

**Method**

Is the method explained well enough so that someone else could repeat it and know what to do? 

Has the author used the correct terminology? 

**Results**

Have the results been presented clearly and in an appropriate format (eg in a table and/or using graphs or diagrams)? 

**Conclusion**

Do the results support the conclusion? 

Has the author discussed how well their data fits with that of others’? 

If you have rated any section 'no' or 'in part', explain how the author might improve their work.